App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1931-32 (Aug) Misc File No. ~~394~~-31
Serial Nos. 1-9

Letter References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-9

Part B for September 1932

SUBJECT.

Removal of Srikanta Rangaswamy Iyengar from the charge of the Upadhyaya Lakshmi in the Srirangapatna Taluk

Mr. 7/6 Jo

9/9/32

WD 03607—GPB—22,500—2-8-35

Returns to be received with his letter dated 7/9/32

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Pertaining to the Appeal
Application of Sri Vyshnava Brahmins
of Cuddalore for Sanction
requesting that Sanction
Requested may be given to
Theirpalai Seva Bhikshai
be removed from the
Charge of the Sri
Vedanta Desika
Sannidhi.

The appeal case referred
in para 8 of the Pro-D
is in pendency & a Telegram
of Caution for additional
information has been
sent to Separate Head
Hoped the present
report will be found
sufficient at the time
of hearing the Appeal
Case - in the
meanwhile,

N B—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Writ be forwarded to M.C. for report of the grievance is real.

Sd/-

11/4/31 H. Secy

11.4.31

8385
18/4

Let me see the connected appeal file

18/4

Orders of the Secretary issued in person.

Note what bearing the present petition has upon the appeal case in the linked file".

Sd/-

Seen from paras 5 & 6 of the petn. The Paramaikar of Sri Vedanthacharya Sannidhi appears to have written Vadakalai Sri Vaishnavanga Bhalla Rephan as representative of Somanayakanna (Vide

[1] Marginal notes must be written on small slips the notes must be typed, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc not intended for permanent record]

Para 1 of the P. C. D. II) has represented in his appeal that the above Paicharike by hule belongs to him. Kindrexee is invited to the report of 14.9.30 sent by the Amildar Srirangapatana Taluk, stating that the charge of the Sri Vedanthachari Sannidhi was caused to be handed over to Shri Ramaswamy Iyengar. [This appeal was done away with the disposal of the appl. by the M.C.J. on 23.3.31] The date fixed for hearing the appeal, Keshava Rangabhatta, as representing Srinivasachary, was present. From his personal representations it was found that the revision order of 1927 did not affect him materially but on the other hand he was getting drawing Rs 12 now as against Rs 8/- under the old Scale. He did not hope that the Paicharike of the Sri Vedanthachari Sannidhi should be attended to by a Vadahale Sri Vaishnava Brahmin. before the Member

N B—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

It is respectfully submitted that there appears to be a good deal of force in the present petn. whether the sample of canays between Keshava Ranga Bhatta & Shanta Rangashanaya would bring the waters to test is also a point for consideration. Submitted whether the draft may now issue

H. Secy) 21.4.31

30/4/31

Issue

30.4.31

No 2375 of 30.4.31

To The Muzrai Commissioner

Calling for a report on the petition signed by Keshava Bhatta Pothina of Shanivarasanthe

N. B. Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Sheriff Tanjore Sri Parthasarathi Iyengar enclosing a petition complaining against the encroachment of a Temple Sri Vyshnava Brahmins in Sri Vedanthachariyar Sannidhi praying amended to by Vadakalai Sect of Sri Vyshnavas and requesting the removal of the Petitioner objected to -

Orders of the First Member of Council on the P. H-D

: There is a Case on this Subject. May be placed in the Connected file."

Attended to & linked to the Appl. file.

S-S 2/5/31

Petition from K. Srinivasa Iyengar, Archaka Chief and others praying for the removal of Thengalai Receivers wanting to form Sri Vedanthachariyar Sannidhi -

Report called for on a Sanction to

N° 1. Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be pasted, or slips pasted on this form

159

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

From the Mr. is not
yet received.

A/c forwarding in Criminal in
in respect for wss
G.I. (Jail) H. Secy VS 15.8.31
III

2441
1578

h
1578
Issue
VS
17.8.31

No 288 of 18 8-31 to
the Magistrate Commissioner
forwarding the petition
received to move in for
expediting the report already
called for.

Sent
1578

N.B.—Short routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Serial No.

Letter no 1144/30-31 d 16/2/32 from the Manager Commissioner stating that the question of the reallocation of Panchamukha duties of all Suttalgars including the Sri Veerabhadra Sannidhi is separately under appeal before Government and that the decision in the appeal case will have to be awaited for a disposal of the petition received to-date in.

As seen from the enc. to P. 4-D (D.C's letter of 15/4/31). Vadagalai officials are stated to be available for being posted to Sri Desikan Sannidhi. Now a Tengalai Brahmin is doing the work, & this has been objected to by the Vadakalai Brahmins. The question further work is in mind. The grievance of Vadakalai sect appears real & requires redress.

The paper sent to the P. 4-D has been submitted along with the main Appl. file D. No 378.

20/2 GS MSK

After orders are passed on the other file D no 378 this may be disposed of.

4/3/32

Asst. Secy)

8 3 32

8/3/32

(20-3-32)

If file has come back with orders please give this to me

11/4/32

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13 S-31.

Mrz File No. 849 General and Secretar

Mrz Reminder petition of Sri-/ram Narasa K. Narayanachar and other Vadakalai Sri Vaishnava Brahmins requesting that Manik Rangaswamiengar may not be removed from Sri Vedantha desikan Sannidhi as he is a Tengale Brahmin.

(b) Sri Vedantha Desikan Sannidhi is situated in Sri Ranganatha Swami Temple Srirangapatnam and is a Sthalagoi[d] + the Panchanike Thereof was hitherto granted by the Vadakalai Sri Vaishnavas. As a result of the allocation ordered in Govt. 639-41/ Mrz 379-21-2 dt 9/8/22, the particular work has gone to the Manik in charge of Sthalgoidis in Sl nos 14+15 of the Statement issued under the above Govt. This latter Manik (Rangaswamiengar) is seen from the Records to belong to Tengale Sect.

(7) The Background of the Panchanike in question is found from the Revenue Report of the in the name of one Seshachariar, a Vadakalai Brahmin. As seen from the Revenue records (vide the linked file (Mrz Revenue dt 30-31) dt 30-31 Keshavaramanuja Bhatta, nephew of Sriranganarayanamma claimed the particular Panchanike only. Thereafter the Finance Member, a Council while leaving Keshava Rangabhatta has minuted as follows:— (Para 22 of the Mrz Revenue dt 30-31)

2 According to the old Scale

Serial No.

Somanayakanmars — Krishna Rao's remuneration has been raised to Rs 121- under the revised scale - Her claim to the rice allowance attached to the panchayam of 3 Sthalgnanis in addition to increased emoluments is untenable".

As per orders Keshavaraja Rao representing Somanayakanmars will not be entitled to particular panchamuke from. But in view of the repeated orders from Vadahale people, it is a matter for consideration whether the M.C. may be requested to suggest a suitable modification to the G.O. of 1922 to the extent of taking away this Vadahale Panchamu work from items No 14+15 in the agreement to the work & adding the same to other Sastradeserving them, the work of which is attended by a Vadahale Sri Vyshnava Brahmin thereby [illegible] the inconveniences [illegible] of the Vadahale Brahmins -

Vedantha desika Sannidhi - Panchamu.

2

For orders —

Copy of the decision in Proc. Rt No 3 of 30-31 referred to in para 10 is enclosed. (Proc 64-30-9 Dte 13) for ref

Order as the file being Proc No. 378 Proc Appl No. 3 of 30-31

Note—Contra Sheet

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

General and Revenue Secretariat

Called for vide para 10. supra. Orders solicited on the points raised in para 8. supra.

Gs Sec 3/7

Now that the appeal re reclassification of Parichanike Inam has been decided by Govt, the R.C. may be asked to send his report already called for on this file.

1/8/32

Gs 3/8 Sec

Draft for appeal or other orders.

3/8/32

4/8

4/8

6. AUG. 1932 GEN. & REV. SECTT.

No. 258 of 9-8-32 to the Inspector General of Registration & Commissioner for Settlement & Land Records, Madras.

Letter no 46 of 34-35 dt 3-8-1935 from the Inspector General of Registration & Commissioner for Settlement & Land Records, Madras stating that the Rangareddi Lingam boundaries over which charge by R. Narasimhachar & that the work is going on smoothly in the Sub Registration Chandigiri.

As no action is called for "Cf" appd the enclosures will be returned to I.G. & Records filed

For perusal

31/8/35

Gs 30/8

Gen 4/9 4/9

156

4.

Revenue
at Mug File No. 879 of 1931.

[App. F. III—2

Serial
No.

Dft. returning the enclosures to H.C. is passed for issue—

G.S
13-9-35

D.S
19/9

No 4169 of 19-9-1935 to the Mysore Commissioner returning Words received for ——

1. SEP. 1935
SEV. SECTT.

20-9-35

NOTE—Comm. Sheet

W D 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

File No.

of 193

GEN'L. & REV. SECRETARIAT
1142
11 APR. 1932
MIT...
OFFICE OF THE
FIRST M...
No. M.172

8/4/32

8/4/32

12

Ref. 349-34-I

సెక్రటరీ కార్య నిర్వాహక సంఘము వారికి

తరచు సుధానగర్ స్థానంగా

ఈ నెల 8వ తారీకు గురువారం ఉదయం 9 గంటలకు సంస్థాయ

ధర్మ కార్యక్రమాలు ముగిసిన వెంటనే సుధానగర్ లో

సమావేశమవుతుంది అని తెలియజేయడమైనది.

సుధాకర్, శ్రీధర్ శర్మ గారు, శ్రీ రంగారెడ్డి గారు

మొదలగు వారు సమావేశానికి వస్తున్నందున తరచు

సంస్థాయ కార్యక్రమాలు జరుగుతున్నందున తరచు

సంస్థాయ కార్యక్రమాలు, ముఖ్యమైన కార్యక్రమాలు

ఉన్నాయి కాబట్టి 18.8.30 తర్వాత, తరచు యొక్క

మరియు, తరచు యొక్క సమయము, మిత్ర పక్షాలు వారి

తో సంస్థాయ కార్యక్రమాలు చర్చించుకోవలసి

ఉన్నది కాబట్టి 7.4.31 తర్వాత సమావేశమవ్వడం

ఉంటుంది కాబట్టి, 7.8.31 తర్వాత

తరచు సమావేశమవ్వడం ఉంటుంది కాబట్టి, సుధాకర్

గారు సమావేశమవ్వడానికి ముందు 7.8.31 లోపు

సమావేశమవ్వడానికి ముందు, సుధాకర్ గారు

సమావేశమవ్వడానికి ముందు, సుధాకర్ గారు

సమావేశమవ్వడానికి ముందు, సుధాకర్ గారు

సమావేశమవ్వడానికి ముందు, సుధాకర్ గారు

K. Narayanacher. 387. Krishna Vilasa agraharam, Mysore.

H. C. Ramyeswami Iyengar

S. M. Sreenivasa Iyengar

M. Seshachar.

M. V. Ramaiah.

M. K. Srinivasa Iyengar

K. Seshagiriappa

D. Sreenivasa Iyengar

G. V. Vedanthacharya

Bangalore

T. V.

N. Ramaswami Aiengar

B. S. Ramaswami Iyengar

H. P. Seshiengar



App. F. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Immediate

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Dated 30.4.31

No. 2 7

Rev. 349-31-2

The accompanying Petition ~~Registered~~ No. 13.S.9 dated 10.4.1931 received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Messrs. A. M. Srinivasa Iyengar, K. Narayanachar and other Sri Vyshnava Brahmins of Vadahalli See Sanchiradaya requesting the removal of Stanika Ranganayakiengar of Thengale Sect from the charge of the Sri Vedanthacharya Sri Sannidhi Ranganatha Swami Temple Srirangapatam. is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~ to the Govt.

.....

.....

.....for report ~~if any~~ before the 15th May 1931 if the grievance is redressed so far as the applicants in the matter.

By Order,

10.4.31

Secretary.

BANGALORE,

11/4/1931

[P. T. O

WD 0562—GPB—2,250—2-8-27

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್.....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....ಹೋಬಳಿ

.....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ.....

ಮರ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು..... .....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....

.....ರವರ ಖಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order.

Secretary.

ನಂಬರು ೨೫೧ ಸಂ. 2849/30-31 Mw2

ಮೈಸೂರು ತಾರೀಖು 25 ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ 1931

M.142 1931

GENL 8 FEV 1931 1474.

1.5.31

ಶ್ರೀಮಜ್ಜಗದ್ಗುರು ಸಕಲಾಗಮ ಪ್ರವರ್ತಕ ಕಾರ್ಯಧುರಂಧರ ಸಕಲವಿದ್ವಜ್ಜನಾಗ್ರಗಣ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀಬ್ರಹ್ಮತಂತ್ರ ಪರಕಾಲಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದಿಂದ

ಪ್ರಣತ 394-31-3

ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀಬ್ರಹ್ಮತಂತ್ರ ಪರಕಾಲಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದಿಂದ

ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ದೇವತಾಸ್ಥಾಪನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಮಾಡಿ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಕಾದ ಖರ್ಚುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಚಾರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಹೇಳಿದಂತೆ ನಡೆಯುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಮೊದಲು ಒಪ್ಪಂದ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಅನಂತರ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗಿ ನಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಆದರೆ ಈಗ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಒಪ್ಪಂದದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ನಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ನೋಡಿದಾಗ ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿರುವುದು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿಯೇ ಇದೆಯೆಂದು ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆಯಾಗಿರುವ ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನರಸಿಂಹಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂಜೆಗಳು ಮೊದಲಿನಂತೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ನಡೆಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಸೇವಕರು

ఈ వివాదాంశ - శ్రీ దామోదరాచార్య సన్నిధి రసు
బాదదడప్పకార్యాధ - వరదరాజయ్యంగారు - మైసూరివారు
ట - క్రీ.శ. 1905 - కోయంబత్తూరు - సన్నిధానం వారు -
కుంభకోణం - 3 / 30-31 నేలనంబర్ 6 కోర్టు దావాలో వారు తీర్పు
చేసినట్లయ్యింది -

(శ్రీ) అంగారదాసన్నిధి - శ్రీవైష్ణవాంగారు మొదట
దేవతలకిష్టమైన శ్రీరంగం గళ్ళు - అగ్రహారాలు -
కోయిలు మొ. ఇవన్నీ చేజిక్కించుకొని - లబ్ధం పొందిన
అంగళ్ళారు - సంప్రదాయం తప్పి అంటరాని వాండ్లతో
కలసి ఈ సంస్థానమర్యాదలు - ఈ సన్నిధి ఉల్లంఘించి
ప్రవర్తిస్తూ వారంగళ్ - సంప్రదాయం కోసం బ్రహ్మోత్సవాలు
నిర్వహణకొరకు చేసిన - సన్నిధి లింగాంధరులు వారి భాగ
దళ్ - చేసి సాధ్యపర్చి - ఆ ధనంతో వైష్ణవులు - సద్వ
దళ్ - సంభావనలకు వారి కోయంబత్తూరు సంస్థానం
సంప్రదాయం వస్త్రాలు దాక్షిణ్యం ఇచ్చి ప్రారంభించినట్లైనది.

[illegible]

కేశవాంయంగార్

N Anantha Ramiyengar

[illegible]

యస్. వరదాచార్యులు గారు

A.V Gopalachar.

యస్. గోపాలకృష్ణ

R.S. Gopalakrishna

[illegible]

[illegible]

యలమంచి వైద్యనాథ

S. Ranganatha Dikshitar.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

R. Narasimha Char

K. Narayana Char

S. Krishnaiengar Mysore

2/-

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 288/one

The accompanying Petition Registered

No. 15.9 &c dated on 8.8.31

received at the General and Revenue

Secretariat from Messrs. K. Srinivasa

K. Narayana Chetty and others requesting the removal of Shanik Rangaswamiengar, Temple Sri Vyshnava Brahmin from the Sri Vedanthachari Sannidhi to of Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Srirangapatna

is forwarded, together with its enclosures

to the M.C. in Continuation of this office letter no 2375 dated 30.6.31 for kind of expediting in respect already sent for.

By Order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,
14/9/1931

[P. T. O.

WD 02048 -GPB—3750—8-8-28

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿ..... ತಂದೆಪ್ಪಗಳು

.....ಹೋಬಳಿ

.....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟು.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ .....

ಮರುಮನವಿಯನ್ನು..... .....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Secretary.

M. C. 13]

No. 11414/30-37

Encl 17 to

GENL. & REV. SEC. 884. 17. FEB. 1932 MUZRAI

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 15th February *193* 16.

Mrg 349-31-b

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ,. M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Rajaseva aravana

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

... ...Financial *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your official memorandum No.2375/[illegible] dated 30th June 1931 calling for a report on the petition of ---- Messrs M.A. Srinivasa Iyengar, [illegible] and other Sri-Vaishnava Brahmins of Tadagale [illegible], requesting the removal of Stanik Rangaswamiengar from the charge of the Komandi of Sri --- Vedantacharya, in the Sri [illegible] Mathas[illegible] --- I have the honor to forward herewith the file of vernacular records received with the Mysore Deputy Commissioner's letter No....315/31 dated 15th December 1931 (copy enclosed) [illegible] question of the recall [illegible] Swamis including the Sri Sikar[illegible] which is [illegible] by the Government, the decision of Government in which will [illegible] be awaited for the disposal of the petition under reference.

G.O.No. 378.

The entire records of the above appeal [illegible] sent to Government [illegible] Memo No.2375/30-31 dated September 1931.

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Hiriyannaiya

Deputy Secretary

Letter No.H.215/31 dated 15th December 1931 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

---

Sri Vedanthacharya shrine - Seringapatam temple - removal of Sthanik Rangaswamy Iyengar from charge.

With reference to your memo No.1714 of 30-31 dated 6th May 1931 forwarding for report petition received from Messrs. A. V. Srinivasa Iyengar, Narayanachar, and others of Vadagale sect requesting the removal of Sthanik Rangaswamy Iyengar from the ---- Sannidhi charge of Sri Vedantha Desikar in Seringapatam temple, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Seringapatam taluk -- reports that Vadagale officials are available on the temple staff who are willing to work on the allowance (in kind) attached to it, and that early orders may be passed appointing a Vadagale man to the charge of the Sri Desikar Sannidhi.

2. It may be stated that the Sri Desikar Sannidhi in question is a Suthalgudi in the Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at Seringapatam having no separate allotment from temple grant. Consequent on the revision of the scale of expenditure of the temple sanctioned in Government Order No.639-41/Muz.379-21-2 dated 9th August 1922, the Paricharike duties of all Suthalgudis in the temple were ---- attached to Sthaniks items 14 and 15 of the scale, the charge of -- the Sri Desikar Sannidhi has been given to Sthanik Rangaswamy --- Iyengar along with other Suthalgudis. As other claimants to -- Suthalgudis have come forward, the matter is now under appeal before Government for a reallocation of Paricharike duties of all Suthalgudis and the decision of Government in the above appeal may have to be awaited for the disposal of the petition under reference.

3. The correspondence dated 4th June 1931 referred to in the Sub Division Officer's memo has been sent with this office letter No.I. 204/30 dated 13th July 1931.

4. The petition received with your memo and other connected papers are enclosed.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mis

File No. 6-30 3(9-34

Serial No. 9

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 257/Mis-3.

To

The M.C.

Subject:- Removal of the present Temple Sri Vaishnava Brahmin Stanik Rangaswamiengar from the Charge of the Sannidhi of Sri Vedanthacharya in Sri Ranganatha Swamy Temple Srirangapatnam —

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with this Dept. Fax. letter No 1144/30-31 & 1672/31, I am directed to request the views required as to Opposite the Rule of the reasons called for in this office letters nos 2375 & 3074/31 & 888 & 1818/31 the

Our petitioner relating to the above Subject. Please furnish the

Date of issue 9.3.32

Signed by .....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

as the appeal re re-allotment of Panchanike duties in all settlements in Mysore Sri Desikan submitted his petition to you and the matter has been disposed of.

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—2[illegible]

3/8/32

Hc.46/34-35

Mr. Rangel 1 file

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE

Bangalore, 1st August 1935.

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAU, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

H. V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
.....General..... ... . *Department*

SIR,

Removal of Sthanik Rangaswamy Iyengar from the charge of Sri Vedantacharya Sannidhi in the Seringapatam Temple.

Reminder

Adverting to your letter No.376/Muz.64-30 dated 17th September 1934 re: the above, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.H.384/34 dated 23rd July 1935 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, stating that Rangaswamy Iyengar handed over charge to R. Narasimhachar, that the work is going on smoothly in the Sri Vedantachar Sannidhi and that no action is necessary in the matter.

The records are forwarded for favour of perusal and return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient serv

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.H.384/34 dated 23-7-35 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Archak of Sri Vedantachar Shrine - Seringapatam Temple - removal of Sthanik Rangaswamy Iyengar.

With reference to your letter No.H.C.46/34-35 dated 14/15th November 1934 calling for a report on the above subject, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Seringapatam Taluk reports that with a view to avoid friction between the Tengale and Vadagale sects in the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam, Sthanik Rangaswamy Iyengar, a Tengale, who holds the Paricharike duties of Sri Vedantachar and Sri Anjaneyaswami shrines, has handed over the charge of these duties voluntarily to R.Narasimhachar, (Vadagale) whose father had been doing the Paricharike duties of the Sri Vedantachar shrine prior to 1922.

2. As it is reported that the work is going on smoothly in the Sri Vedanta char shrine, no action is necessary in the matter.

3. The connected records are submitted for reference and kind return.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

(M. O. 15)

r78

No. 1144/30-31
Encl one

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 8th September *1932.*
9.

FROM S. P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A.,
~~SxHIRIANNAIYAxEsqxxxxxxxxx~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



To

S. Shamanna, Esquire., B.A.,

CEC

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

F i n a n c i a l *Department.*

319
UX

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.258/Muz.64-30 dated 9th ---- August 1932, requesting to expedite the submission of the report on the petition relating to the removal of Stanick Rangaswamiengar from the charge of Sri Vedantacharya Sannidhi in Sri Ranganathaswami ----- temple, I have the honor to forward herewith, for information, copy of D.O.No.H.204/30 dated 4th instant from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District wherein he says that he has reminded the Sub Division ------ Officer to submit his report at once with his explanation as well as of all those concerned for the inordinate delay.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

D.O.No.H.204/30 dated 3/4th September 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Allegations against Sthanik Rangaswamy Iyengar, Seringapatam temp

---

With reference to your D.O.letter No.1144/30-31 dated 2nd Septemb 1932 calling for a report on the above subject, I write to inform you -- that the report of the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, has not yet been received inspite of reminders. I have asked him to-day to submit his report at once with his explanation as well as of all those concerned for the inordinate delay I shall send my final reply after the receipt of Sub Division Officer's report.

For Muzrai Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MY

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 416 Incl file Mis 394-31-9 *Dated, Bangalore, 16th Septr. 193 5.* 19th

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Asstt Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to return herewith records received with your letter No.A.3.46/34-35 dated 3rd August 1935 reporting the removal of Stanik Rangaswami Iyengar from the charge of Sri Vedantacharya Sannidhi in the temple of Sri Ranganathaswami, Seringapatam.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Govt.

31

ndix F. G.–

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section. Mis

File No. 3 Pp – 36

Serial No. 9

No. 416 Rec'd 5/9

To

The M.C.

Sir,

I am directed to return herewith records received with your letter no. H.C. 46/34-35 dy 3.8.35 reporting removal of Shaik Ramaswami Iyengar from the Charge of Sri Vedanthangya Samidhi in the Township of Sri Ranganathaswami Seringapatam —

Thanks &c

5/9

for Gen Sec

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 4-9-35

Signed by H. Medda Krishnappa

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

4/9

4.9.35